2023 MPHN Primary Healthcare Awards

Applications will be assessed using the following evaluation criteria. The judging panel will include two firsthealth Pty Limited Board Directors and an MPHN Senior Leadership Team member. The judge's decision is final.

#	Pre-condition criteria	Compliance
i.	Was the majority of the activity was undertaken during the financial year period of 2022-2023?	Yes / No
ii.	Does the activity nominated focus on a community / communities within the Murrumbidgee region?	Yes / No
iii.	Does the application provide supporting evidence of success of activity?	Yes / No
iv.	Does the application include supporting videos or photos? *(NB: Not supplying does not disqualify you from being awarded in this category, this question is for administrative purposes.)*	Yes / No
v.	If applicant is unable to attend awards presentation, has a representative been listed along with contact details? *(NB: Not nominating a representative does not disqualify you from being awarded in this category, this question is for administrative purposes.)*	Yes / No
vi.	Is this application peer or self-nominated?	Peer / Self

Category: Murrumbidgee Community Grant of the Year
Bushfire Recovery Grants

Evaluation Criteria
1. Details of activity (Max marks 15)
How was the activity community driven? • Does the application clearly demonstrate the community drove this application? • Evidence to support it was community driven?
2. Key deliverable – mental health and wellbeing benefits (Max marks 25)
How did the activity deliver mental health and wellbeing benefits to individuals to promote community resilience? • Did the activity deliver mental health and wellbeing benefits? • Did the activity promote community resilience? • To what extent was this best practice? • Was the activity evidence based?

3. Key deliverable – reduction of stigma (Max marks 15)

How did the activity support stigma reduction and greater access to appropriate mental health and suicide prevention services?

- Were people attending / participating in the activity provided access to appropriate mental health and suicide prevention services?
- To what extent did the activity seek to reduce stigma?

4. Key deliverable – community and capacity building (Max marks 20)

How did the activity promote post trauma recovery for the community, including an opportunity to support social connectedness and supportive relationships?

- Evidence to demonstrate the activity promoted post trauma recovery generally.
- After the project is there a stronger capacity for social connectedness and supportive relationships in the community?

5. Supporting evidence (Max marks 25)

How does the feedback / testimonials / evidence support the success of the activity?

- Measurable / demonstrated success outcomes?
- Evidence of participant support?
- Good news story?
- Positive feedback or testimonials?

Category: Murrumbidgee Community Grant of the Year
Murrumbidgee Community Grants

Evaluation Criteria

1. Details of activity (Max marks 25)

How was the activity community driven?

- Does the application clearly demonstrate a grassroots community activity?
- Evidence to support it was community driven?

2. Key deliverable – Community connectedness (Max marks 25)

How did the activity deliver benefits to individuals to promote social cohesion, connectedness and supportive friendships and relationships?

- Did the activity deliver community wellbeing benefits?
- Did the activity promote community resilience?
- To what extent was this best practice?
- Was the activity evidence based?

3. Key deliverable – Community wellbeing (Max marks 25)

How did the activity foster wellbeing and resilience?

- How did the activity improve one or more of the following – Physical, emotional and mental health?

4. Supporting evidence (Max marks 25)

How does the feedback / testimonials / evidence support the success of the activity?
- Measurable / demonstrated success outcomes?
- Evidence of participant support?
- Good news story?
- Positive feedback or testimonials?